

## Some Chi-Square Business for Contingency Tables

Assumption 1: Observations are independent.

This is generally met when each person in the table is only in the table once – they are not counted twice or more.

Assumption 2: The test statistic is approximately distributed Chi-Square for relatively large samples.

This is generally met when expected frequencies in each cell of the contingency table are greater than or equal to 5 (there has to be the potential to observe 5 cases in each cell).

### Effect Sizes

Phi,  $\Phi$ , is a special case of the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient for dichotomous items (0/1) – or can be thought of as a correlation in a  $2 \times 2$  table.

$\Phi$  is a function of the Pearson chi-square statistic,  $\chi^2$ :

$$\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{n}}$$

This ranges from -1 to 1, like a correlation. If both the rows and columns of the contingency table exceed 2 levels,  $\Phi$  can exceed 1.0. There is an adjustment made to  $\Phi$  for contingency tables larger than  $2 \times 3$  or  $3 \times 2$  called Cramér's Phi (SPSS calls this Cramer's V).

$$\text{Cramér's } \Phi = \sqrt{\frac{\Phi^2}{(\text{the smaller \# of rows or columns}) - 1}}$$

For tables that are  $2 \times 2$ ,  $2 \times 3$ , or  $3 \times 2$ , Phi and Cramér's Phi are equal.

Consider the following question:

*Do males and females equally support building a new football stadium?*

Female \* Support building a football stadium Crosstabulation

|        |        |                 | Support building a football stadium |       | Total  |
|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|
|        |        |                 | No                                  | Yes   |        |
| Female | Male   | Count           | 22                                  | 58    | 80     |
|        |        | % within Gender | 27.5%                               | 72.5% | 100.0% |
|        | Female | Count           | 71                                  | 59    | 130    |
|        |        | % within Gender | 54.6%                               | 45.4% | 100.0% |
| Total  |        | Count           | 93                                  | 117   | 210    |
|        |        | % within Gender | 44.3%                               | 55.7% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

|                    | Value     | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|--------------------|-----------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 14.758(b) | 1  | .000                  |                      |                      |
| N of Valid Cases   | 210       |    |                       |                      |                      |

a Computed only for a 2x2 table

b 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 35.43.

Symmetric Measures

|                    |            | Value | Approx. Sig. |
|--------------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| Nominal by Nominal | Phi        | -.265 | .000         |
|                    | Cramer's V | .265  | .000         |
| N of Valid Cases   |            | 210   |              |

a Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

**Based on our results, 73% of Males and 45% of Females ( $\pm 5\%$ ) support building a stadium. There is a statistically significant difference in level of support between males and females, where  $\chi^2(1, n=210)=14.8, p<.001$ . This is a small, but statistically significant, relationship where  $\Phi=.264$ .**

STEP 1: Analyze → Descriptives → Frequencies

Check the frequency distribution to see if the values are “plausible”  
That no strange values outside the possible range

STEP 2: Analyze → Descriptives → Crosstabs

Rows: put first question

Columns: put second question

Check your “Statistics” and “Cells” options and get “Percents” for either rows or  
columns – whichever you are more interested in

STEP 3: Interpret results